

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Applicant responds herein to the Final Office Action dated February 27, 2007. Claims 1-14 are currently pending in the Application. Claims 1-14 were finally rejected in the Office Action. Applicant has amended Claims 1, 4, 6, 8, 10 and 13 and respectfully requests reconsideration of the rejection.

Claims 1, 6 and 10 were rejected in the Office Action under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, as allegedly failing to describe “at least three preset thresholds.” (*Office Action*, page 2). Applicant has amended Claims 1, 6 and 10 to recite “preset thresholds including a first threshold, a second threshold and a third threshold.” The amendment is supported by paragraphs [0044], [0046] and [0048] of the present Application (as published). Specifically, paragraph [0044] discloses the process of comparing the radio quality to the first threshold, i.e., threshold *y*. Paragraph [0046] discloses the process of comparing the radio quality to the second threshold, i.e., threshold *z*. Finally, paragraph [0044] discloses the process of comparing the radio quality to the third threshold, i.e., threshold *x*. Therefore, the specification adequately describes all limitations of the amended Claims 1, 6 and 10.

Claims 1, 4, 6, 8, 10 and 13 were rejected in the Office Action under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, because they recited a limitation “said base station” that lacked antecedent basis. Applicant has amended Claims 1, 4, 6, 8, 10 and 13 to correct the lack of antecedent basis.

Based on the above, Claims 1, 4, 6, 8, 10 and 13 are believed to be in condition for allowance. Since each of claims 2-3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 12, and 14 is directly dependent upon one of independent claims 1, 6, and 10, each of claims 2-3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 12, and 14 is allowable for the same reasons recited above with respect to the allowability of independent claims 1, 6, and 10.

For at least the reasons set forth above, Applicants respectfully submit that this patent application is in condition for allowance. Reconsideration and prompt allowance of this

application are respectfully requested. The Examiner is urged to telephone Applicant's undersigned counsel (please note the change of correspondence information) if it will advance the prosecution of this application, or with any suggestion to resolve any condition that would impede allowance.

THIS CORRESPONDENCE IS BEING
SUBMITTED ELECTRONICALLY
THROUGH THE UNITED STATES
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
EFS FILING SYSTEM
ON MAY 1, 2007

Respectfully submitted,



MAX MOSKOWITZ
Registration No.: 30,576
OSTROLENK, FABER, GERB & SOFFEN, LLP
1180 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10036-8403
Telephone: (212) 382-0700